The ACF International Strategic Plan 2010-2015

ACF International has five main strategic pillars (or goals) in the International Strategic Plan (2010-2015). The first two goals outline ACF’s strategic orientations and the other three are means to achieve these two primary goals, addressing acute malnutrition and humanitarian crises.

1. **INCREASE**
   ACF’s impact on acute malnutrition, curatively and preventively, especially in young children

2. **RESPOND**
   to and prevent humanitarian crises, address vulnerability and reinforce longer term population resilience to crises

3. **FURTHER**
   develop partnerships with local, national and international stakeholders to increase the number of beneficiaries and promote sustainability

4. **BUILD**
   ACF’s capacity to ensure effective & efficient response to humanitarian crisis

5. **BECOME**
   preeminent as an advocate and reference source on hunger and malnutrition
In 2013 ACF passed the midway point of the period covered by the International Strategic Plan (2010-2015). This Annual Progress Report serves to illustrate progress over these first three years of the five year plan. We will describe progress against the objectives defined in the plan, and highlight what is yet to be done. In this report we suggest how senior managers should steer the organisation at the international level, and we present analysis to provide information and insight to all 5,800 employees of ACF across the globe, which should ultimately help to improve the impact of our programmes.

In June 2013, ACF took the decision to update the targets in the International Strategic Plan (2010-2015) to reflect the rapid development of the organisation and the fact that we have surpassed certain targets. For example, the targets for preventing undernutrition under Goal 1 were increased from reaching two million to reaching four million people annually by 2015 (page 12), and the objectives of Goal 5 were adjusted to reflect the expansion in ACF’s advocacy endeavours (page 42).

This year we are better able to report on our progress in each country. We have improved and harmonised reporting mechanisms from country programmes to HQ, and newly developed Beneficiary Counting Guidelines have made for more accurate reporting.

The year 2013 has seen unprecedented pressure on the humanitarian and NGO system with three major emergencies. ACF began the year with its ongoing response to the Syria crisis; both in Syria and responding to the refugee crisis in the surrounding countries. We established programmes in Iraqi Kurdistan and Jordan and hugely expanded operations in Lebanon and Syria.

In November, with the arrival of Typhoon Haiyan, ACF demonstrated speed and agility in responding to the devastation in the Philippines. We also helped in the Central African Republic, where ACF met the needs of populations affected by the conflict and used its advocacy clout to push the UN for more decisive action.

In all three of the above cases, ACF’s emergency pools were deployed to boost operational capacity.

ACF also continued its work this year in ongoing emergency situations with achievements such as reaching 373,919 people through nutrition treatment interventions - 62% of the per annum target (600,000). Interventions aimed at preventing undernutrition reached 3,140,373 beneficiaries in 2013, representing 79% of the per annum target of four million people. These remarkable accomplishments would not be possible without the human resources, security, logistics, and advocacy successes achieved in 2013, which are also detailed in this report.

I would like to thank all of our staff across the globe who have taken the time to contribute to this Annual Progress Report, and especially to the Evaluations, Learning and Accountability Unit1 for leading what has become an important process in evaluating the effectiveness of ACF International.

PAUL WILSON
ACF INTERNATIONAL

1 The ELA Unit is: Ben Allen, ELA Manager, Matt Kletzing, M&E Analyst, Mariagni Ellina, ELA Administrator and Juliana Postarini, ELA Intern.
Operations & Beneficiaries

ACF BENEFICIARIES 2013
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*Refers to “Total Direct Nutrition” as stipulated in the ACF International Beneficiary Counting Guidelines
ACF do not have a permanent presence in Turkey, but have provided technical support to a partner.

* Under registration process
GOAL 1

Increase ACF’s impact on acute malnutrition curatively and preventively, especially in young children

1.1 Treat at least 600,000 acutely malnourished people yearly by the end of 2015

In 2013, ACF International revised its International Strategic Plan to reflect an increased commitment to ending deaths from acute malnutrition. ACF set a new target for 2015: to treat 600,000 people annually.

In 2013, two thirds of ACF’s country programmes (31) implemented nutrition treatment programming through Community-based Management of Acute Malnutrition (CMAM) projects. They treated 373,919 people, 91.87% of whom were children under five. Of these, 63% were treated for Severe Acute Malnutrition (SAM) and 37% for Moderate Acute Malnutrition (MAM) through more than 1,100 health centres and at least 135 mobile health teams. Cumulatively, eleven countries reported 177,056 discharges from CMAM programmes, with a 75% cure rate and 2% death rate. Defaulting remained the largest issue, at a rate of 16%.

The best outcomes were reported by the DRC and Pakistan, while the worst were reported by Mauritania and the Philippines. Though six countries reported default rates above the 15% SPHERE standard (Guinea, Kenya, Mali, Mauritania, the Philippines and Nigeria), another six reported very high cure rates (DRC, Mali, Niger, Pakistan, Senegal and South Sudan).
RATIO OF PROJECTS BY SECTOR 2013

- Nutrition: 1%
- Food security & livelihoods: 22%
- WaSH: 28%
- Care practices: 9%
- DRM: 2%
- Health: 8%
- Mental health: 27%
- Food assistance: 3%
GOAL 1

Nutrition interventions varied based on the needs of the country. For instance, Therapeutic Feeding Programme (TFP) treatment was highest in Somalia, Nigeria and the DRC while Supplementary Feeding Programme (SFP) treatment was highest in Burkina Faso, Pakistan and Mali. Supplementation activities reached the most pregnant and lactating women in Pakistan, Somalia and Niger, while blanket distribution of therapeutic foods and micro-nutrients was a strong focus in Nigeria, Kenya, Pakistan and Mali.
As in 2012, Pakistan reached the most nutrition beneficiaries (excluding Nigeria), even though it experienced a sharp decline (164,008 to 90,578) due to reduced blanket distribution activities. Mali, on the other hand, surged its direct delivery, going from 14th to 2nd in rank amongst countries reaching the greatest number of nutrition beneficiaries (11,541 to 69,084). ACF teams in Mauritania (42,264 to 13,939) and Bangladesh (48,038 to 12,610) reported a sharp decrease, but successful inroads were made in Kenya (24,887 to 53,897), Niger (19,247 to 44,975) and Somalia (29,493 to 42,409). Many smaller and younger country programmes also increased their beneficiary numbers by as much as 1,000% -- such as Colombia and Yemen.
GOAL 1

The mission is working to build the capacity of the MoH and/or local NGOs to treat acute malnutrition

This includes training of MoH and/or NGO staff

There has been a partial/full handover of programme services to the MoH and/or local NGOs

The mission had direct input into development and/or updating of national protocols

| Country | Afghanistan | Bangladesh | Burkina Faso | CAR | Chad | Djibouti | DRC | Ethiopia | Haiti | India | Indonesia | Ivory Coast | Kenya | Liberia | Mali | Mauritania | Nepal | Nicaragua | Niger | Nigeria | Pakistan | Peru | Philippines | Senegal | Somalia | South Sudan | Yemen | Zimbabwe |
|---------|-------------|------------|--------------|-----|------|---------|-----|----------|------|-------|-----------|------------|-------|---------|------|-------------|------|-----------|------|---------|----------|-----|-------------|-------|----------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|

Coverage

In 2013 ACF committed to increasing the coverage of its nutrition programmes to at least 50% by 2015. In 2013, 18 coverage assessments were conducted in 17 programmes across 13 countries. These assessments allowed ACF to determine what percentage of undernourished children its programmes are reaching, as well as to identify the most common barriers to accessing treatment.

The Coverage Monitoring Network (CMN), an inter-agency project lead by ACF-UK, continued to build the capacity of the sector in coverage assessments. In 2013 the CMN supported 105 coverage assessments for 44 organisations including Ministries of Health, NGOs, UN agencies and members of the Red Cross & Red Crescent movement across 25 countries. Thirteen publications were released including the three-part “Access for All” and the UNICEF/CMN joint publication on “The State of SAM Management Coverage 2012”. In addition, four regional trainings were completed in Burkina Faso, DRC, Kenya and Nepal, and four global conferences organised (What We Know Now? A Decade of Community-based SAM Treatment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kabul, Afghanistan</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogande, Burkina Faso</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manni, Burkina Faso</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahr el Gazal, Chad</td>
<td>38% (from 29 in 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanem, Chad</td>
<td>37% (from 38 in 2012) to 35% in October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafwasende, DRC</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opala, DRC</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Pokot, Kenya</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kita, Mali</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidimakha, Mauritania</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saptari, Nepal</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keita, Niger</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayahi, Niger</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dadu, Pakistan</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tando Mohammad Khan, Pakistan</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Cotabato, Philippines</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matam, Senegal</td>
<td>12% (from 17 in 2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2013, ACF continued to enhance the capacity of local and national governments and NGOs to treat and prevent acute malnutrition in 62% of all country programmes. Over 69,000 health and nutrition education sessions were held and over 52,000 people received training in 27 countries. Nearly half of all country programmes had direct input into the development and updating of national protocol and over a quarter handed over programme services to national providers. This included 19 distinct projects handed over to the Ministries of Health in 11 countries and 15 projects handed over to local NGOs in eight countries.
By the end of 2015, ACF has committed to be operational in every country with Global Acute Malnutrition (GAM) rates above 10%. In 2013, ACF opened programmes in Yemen and Cambodia, reaching 21 of these 28 countries (75%). According to current statistics, new country programmes are needed in Timor-Leste, Sudan, Eritrea, Sri Lanka, Maldives, Sao Tome and Principe and the Gambia. Concentrating its efforts on countries with the highest prevalence of malnutrition will enable ACF to save the lives of more acutely malnourished children annually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Wasting (% moderate or severe)</th>
<th>ACF Programmes Operational in 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timor-Leste</td>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrian Arab Republic</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Tome &amp; Principe</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambia</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>✘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total with ACF operations: 21/28 (75%)

1.2 Address the underlying causes of acute malnutrition

Addressing the underlying causes of acute malnutrition is as much a priority for ACF as treating it.

In 2013, ACF reported 408 distinct projects, 32% of which were multisectoral (130). A full 10% of these (42) included an early warning and/or surveillance system. This multi-sectoral emphasis meant that 28% of all projects covered WaSH, 27% covered FSL and 22% covered nutrition (see graphic on page 7). Almost 80% of all countries (37) implemented at least one multi-sectoral project and almost half (22) implemented at least one project, including a surveillance system.

In 2013, seven countries reported conducting a Nutrition Causal Analysis (NCA). ACF aims to have 40% (currently 19 countries) of all country programmes conduct NCAs by the end of 2015.

In 2013, ACF set another new target: to reach four million people annually by the end of 2015 through mother and child care practices and other direct interventions to prevent malnutrition. While beneficiaries from health projects decreased from 2012 to 2013, those reached through care practices increased by 43%. While an ambitious target, good progress was being made towards that goal.

THE NUTRITION CAUSAL ANALYSIS (NCA): A TOOL TO ADDRESS THE VARIOUS CAUSES OF UNDERNUTRITION

Being a structured, participatory, holistic study that focuses on identifying the underlying causes of undernutrition, NCA aims to provide a comprehensive and appropriate response in the context where it takes place. To this end, NCA gives the opportunity for local communities to determine the causes of undernutrition and for technical experts to understand the challenges faced by the communities and their aspirations.

Burkina Faso, Zimbabwe, Bangladesh, Colombia, Guatemala, Nicaragua and Kenya reported conducting NCAs in 2013. Also, NCAs are ongoing currently in Ethiopia and India.

In Burkina Faso, the NCA showed that undernutrition is linked to different factors in the two ethnic groups that co-exist in Tapoa province; access to water was amongst the common ones, and food availability and excess workload were found to be relevant causes of undernutrition to a different extent for each group.

In Bangladesh, the NCA unfolded that local practices and advice from traditional birth attendants (TBAs) relating to giving birth often lead to low birth weight (more than 40% of newborn children), which is a major cause of stunting in some areas. This is where NCA can play an important role in planning appropriate interventions for different communities and also in identifying and tackling the causes of undernutrition through a locally-driven, scientific-based and long-term approach.

In Isiolo County, Kenya, a pastoral area with high prevalence of wasting, an NCA showed that high child morbidity linked to inadequate access to safe water coupled with poor access to age-specific foods, including milk in the dry season, were major causal factors underpinning acute undernutrition. Findings confirmed that the impacts of recurrent drought are increasing women’s workload and in turn affecting maternal health and care of young children.
ACF also emphasized mainstreaming methodologies that improve the design and implementation of nutrition programming. Over 20% of country programmes (10) had an emergency preparedness and response plan (EPRP) in place, and 30% ran or supported an early warning and/or nutrition surveillance system in country (14). All of these incorporated the surveillance system in programme design. While 75% of ACF country programmes that implement nutrition projects explicitly use SMART methodology (27 out of 36), ACF committed to mainstreaming this across every country that implements nutrition projects by the end of 2015. ACF-Canada continued to lead this process by providing technical support and capacity building throughout ACF International and the wider humanitarian community. In 2014, a SMART global technical forum across more than 20 agencies was established for information sharing, as well as to foster debate on issues such as the integration of digital data collection and sampling in complex environments.
2.1 Improve ACF’s capability to respond rapidly to humanitarian crises

In three years, ACF responded to over 50 humanitarian emergencies. From large-scale crises like Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines to localised emergencies like flooding in Uttarakhand, India, ACF response to rapid-onset emergencies nearly doubled in 2013.

The emergency pool was deployed through ACF-France and ACF-Spain in 13 of these emergencies in 2013, three of which saw full-scale coordinated deployment (CAR in April, Jordan and Turkey in May and the Philippines in November). Since 2011, CAR and the Philippines have received the greatest response to emergencies from ACF – a total of seven and six different emergencies respectively. ACF also continued to respond to slow-onset emergencies within the strategy of each country programme.

ACF responded more rapidly in 2013. Some 40% of deployment decisions were made within 24 hours, and 60% of all deployment happened within the first 72 hours. Although January and October of 2013 saw the greatest number of emergencies, data from the previous three years showed that emergencies requiring ACF response occur year round. Regardless of seasonality, ACF must always be ready to respond to emergencies for the vulnerable populations affected.
Number of Emergencies to Which ACF Responded (Rapid and Slow Onset)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Was the Emergency Pool Deployed as Part of the Emergency Response?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Was the Decision to Respond Made Within 24 Hours of the Emergency?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Was the Emergency Response Deployed Within 72 Hours of the Decision?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Was the Emergency Pool Deployed as Part of the Emergency Response?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In 2013 only responses to rapid onset emergencies were reported.
In 2013, ACF also focused efforts on increasing capacity to respond. Fourteen staff members were available for emergency deployment, including technical experts in nutrition, WaSH, FSL and care practices. While only 14 country programmes (30%) had emergency response plans (EPRPs) in place (10) or in development (4), ACF prioritised the most vulnerable contexts—eleven of the 2013 responses (73%) occurred in country programmes in which surveillance and early warning mechanisms were incorporated into programme design. In 2013, ACF thus committed to mainstreaming EPRPs across all country programmes by the end of 2015.

In November 2013, the Philippines were hit by one of the most powerful typhoons ever recorded. ACF International, led by ACF-Spain (already present in the Philippines), mounted a substantial response to the typhoon. Approximately 340 staff (25 expatriates, the rest of them national staff) were deployed, and food and water provisions were sent to respond to an emergency that gained considerable international attention. By May 2014 ACF operations had grown from a volume of €1.5 million to €23 million. By the end of the year, at least 220,000 beneficiaries had been supported by ACF’s response to the disaster.

Approximately six weeks after ACF’s response to typhoon Haiyan, a real-time evaluation (RTE) was carried out in accordance with ACF International’s Standard Operating Protocol for Emergency Response. Overall, the RTE found ACF’s response to be effective and timely, with emergency WaSH and nutrition kits and food security activities reaching the affected communities, though coordination required improvement. One lesson highlighted in the RTE was that the response was felt to be too centralised, therefore sufficient support for the local operational bases and staff should be enabled to a larger extent in the future. In addition, the contingency planning in place needs to be linked to the international protocol so that ACF will be able to respond to disasters of such magnitude in the future even better. On the positive side, the web-based intranet system supported the coordination process well, enabling the sharing of information amongst teams and serving to improve transparency between ACF teams. This tool should be developed further and utilised in future operations.
ACF’s response to the Syrian crisis

ACF has been present in Lebanon since 2006 and in Syria since 2008 (ACF-Spain). The expanding crisis required the organisation to increase its operations in the region. After a joint assessment mission which took place in May 2013, ACF-France opened country programmes in Jordan and Iraqi Kurdistan, managed by a coordination team in Amman. At the same time, ACF-Spain operated (offering technical support) through a local partner in Turkey. In 2013, ACF-Spain also began the registration process in Egypt in order to provide assistance to refugees beginning in 2014.

Due to the complexity of the crisis and the simultaneous presence of ACF-France and ACF-Spain in the region, it was decided that a regional presence should be established in Amman. This team is comprised of a regional communications officer, a nutritional focal point, and a regional representative. The regional representative serves to represent ACF towards other NGOs, the UN, donors and regional fora. This role has been critical in positioning ACF within the international response.

By the end of the year, the ongoing crisis in Syria, the co-existence of two different ACF headquarters in the same region, the need to operate through local partners and the extremely volatile context were considerable challenges that required special attention. The real-time evaluation conducted in February 2014 was a key step in efforts to understand the situation, further develop a regional plan and shape the way forward for ACF.
2.2 Increase ACF support to the affected populations and more particularly to the most vulnerable individuals

In 2013, ACF substantially increased its FSL and WaSH beneficiary targets. Last year, FSL projects reached more than two million people, nearly 400,000 more than in 2012 and closer to reaching its target. ACF WaSH projects reached more than 3.4 million people, down nearly 300,000 from 2012 but still meeting its new target.

Scaling up in humanitarian emergencies was reflected in 2013 numbers. No country saw a greater rise in FSL activities than Lebanon (up 343,198 from 2012), where ACF efforts focused on responding to the Syria crisis. Similarly, emergency response in Madagascar accounted for the greatest rise in WaSH beneficiaries (up 388,548). Overall numbers were similar to 2012.

Seven countries reported 100,000 FSL beneficiaries (Lebanon, Uganda, Niger, Philippines, Burkina Faso, Chad and Pakistan), and seven others reported WaSH beneficiary numbers nearing or exceeding 200,000 (Madagascar, Haiti, DRC, Syria, South Sudan, Somalia and CAR).

Specific FSL activities rose in response to context-based needs in 2013. Together, ACF distributed 70,000 metric tonnes of food aid – 14 times more than in 2012. Most of this occurred in the Philippines (60,000 metric tonnes). Lebanon and Niger, on the other hand, accounted for 62% of the nearly €17.5 million (cash and vouchers) distributed in 19 countries. Only a fraction of this was unconditional. The majority of cash and vouchers supported one million affected people requiring food aid and another one million people through income-
generating activities and agricultural and livestock interventions. In addition, ACF built 1,790 community infrastructures (e.g., waterdams and seed banks); conducted 599 FSL contextual analyses, assessments and surveillance reports; and trained 168,563 people in 30 countries.

Amongst ACF WaSH interventions, emergency water delivery rose substantially in 2013 – from 74,371 to 122,626 cubic meters. Although much less than in 2012, ACF also improved 14,935 water points and 24,425 latrines, distributed 378,873 hygiene kits and trained 100,768 individuals. The organisation also helped to strengthen the capacity of 251 local WaSH institutions.

Of all FSL and WaSH beneficiaries, 54% were men and 46% were women, with 14% being children under 5 years old.
In 2013, ACF reported more than 100,000 beneficiaries of stand-alone Disaster Risk Management (DRM) projects, but this only captures a fraction of ACF’s DRM activities (DRR, CCA, Social protection and resilience). 60% of all country programmes reported DRM activities in 2013, and only one of these implemented only stand-alone DRM projects (Djibouti). As in 2012, most country programmes mainstreamed their DRM work with FSL (21 countries, 34%) and WaSH (18 countries, 30%), but a few also with nutrition (four countries, 7%) and health (two countries, 3%). Together, ACF implemented 44 DRM projects with beneficiaries in 12 countries (Bangladesh, Djibouti, Haiti, Zimbabwe, Bolivia, Colombia, Georgia, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Philippines, Pakistan and Uganda). Most significant were numbers from Central American country programmes – Haiti (18,265), Bolivia (16,222), Guatemala (18,757), and Nicaragua (17,547).

**Addressing Drought Risk through an Integrated DRM Project**

Disaster Risk Management is an area of intervention that can contribute to long-term and sustainable solutions. A noteworthy example of integrated DRM programmes was the Community Managed Disaster Risk Management (CMDRM) project in Ethiopia. Its objective was to “strengthen resilience of pastoralist and agro-pastoral peoples to recurrent drought to enhance drought risk reduction capacity through creating strengthened and diversified livelihood sources for local communities”. The project served to create awareness and build institutions for natural resource management, including capacity building in management capabilities and the strengthening of community-based institutions such as the Kebele (neighbourhood) Drought Risk Management Committees. A total of 62,586 men and women benefited.

A number of lessons can be drawn from Ethiopia’s DRM programme including; 1) the need to integrate DRM activities into a comprehensive institutional framework, involving NGOs, government/institutions and the local community in decision-making processes, 2) the introduction and promotion of drought resistant crops, 3) the promotion of traditional coping mechanisms, and 4) the importance of involving and empowering women through DRM initiatives. What is clear from the programme is that by implementing an integrated package of activities (including FSL and WaSH interventions) through a multi-stakeholder approach ACF can contribute to the improvement of household food security and the nutritional status.
### COUNTRIES THAT REPORTED DRM MAINSTREAMING BY SECTOR 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WaSH</th>
<th>Nutrition</th>
<th>Food security &amp; livelihoods</th>
<th>Health</th>
<th>Food assistance</th>
<th>DRM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myanmar</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>Food security &amp; livelihoods</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>WaSH</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>DRM</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>DPR</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT BY SECTOR 2013

- Nutrition: 7%
- Food security & livelihoods: 34%
- WaSH: 30%
- DRM: 16%
- Health: 3%
- Food assistance: 7%
- Other: 3%
Across the world in 2013, ACF partnered with more stakeholders than ever before. Every country programme reported working with partners, except Cambodia, Jordan and Iraqi Kurdistan – all three of which were newly established in 2013. With 484 formal partnerships (including signed agreements) in place, ACF collaboration grew by 46% compared to 2012.

3.1 Increase partnerships with governments aimed at increasing coverage and sustainability

By focusing more on local and national government partnerships – which more than doubled in 2013 (from 120 to 270, 56% of total) – ACF not only reinforced programme impact but also emphasised building and retaining capacity in the system. While 43 (out of 47) countries reported partnerships in 2013, only 30 supplied information about partner type. All of these, however, worked with government stakeholders.
Develop partnerships with local, national and international stakeholders to increase the number of beneficiaries and promote sustainability.

Governments, ministries & public agencies
International NGOs
National or local NGOs
Academic, think-tanks & scientific bodies (national or international)
Private sector
Other

56%
21%
14%
5%
3%
1%
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3.2 Increase partnerships with NGOs and local civil society organisations aimed at increasing access, sustainability and funding

Of the 30 countries working with partners, 29 worked with local or national NGOs. While overall, partnerships with local and national NGOs decreased by 29% in 2013, working with international NGOs increased by 65%. Save the Children and Care were the most frequent international NGO partners, with eight and seven ACF country programmes partnering with them, respectively.
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3.3 Play a prominent role within consortia and humanitarian coordination mechanisms (HQ, national & local levels)

In 2013, ACF was also a member of 56 consortia in 29 different country programmes, nearly double the amount recorded in 2012 (32 in 15 countries). In eleven of these countries (Haiti, Myanmar, Bolivia, Colombia, Guatemala, Nicaragua, OPT, Philippines, Senegal, Turkey and Nigeria) ACF held the position of lead agency.

ACF was also active in 156 coordination mechanisms (such as the Nutrition, FSL and WaSH Clusters) across 36 country programmes. Of these ACF led in the following 16 countries: Afghanistan, CAR, Chad, Ivory Coast, Madagascar, Yemen, Zimbabwe, Colombia, Georgia, Guatemala, Mauritania, OPT, Philippines, Senegal, Kenya and South Sudan.
In 2013, country programmes provided information on the purpose of 429 out of the 484 partnerships recorded (89%). As in 2012, capacity building remained the principal reason for partnerships (41%) but improving access to beneficiaries was a substantial second (27%). Capacity building also requires sustainable exit strategies, which is why 10% of all partnerships were expressly for hand-over of programmes to local and national stakeholders. In 2013, 6% of all partnerships were also for research. That included nearly 40 research projects underway with leading experts in diverse fields, whether on infectious diseases amongst SAM children with the University Hospital of Geneva or climate resilience and food security in Central America with a consortium of NGO and academic partners (see Annex 2).

Partnerships were formed across ACF’s three main sectors (nutrition and health, WaSH and FSL) in more or less equal proportions (20-26%). A very limited section of partnerships (4%) were in mental health and care practices, reflecting the relative novelty of this sector for ACF. See Annex 4 for a full list of reported partnerships by country.

Engagement with stakeholders on the ground also diversified to include more academic institutions, think-tanks and scientific bodies (5% of total), as well as private sector parties (3% of total). A full 60% of ACF country programmes engaged with academic institutions, think tanks and scientific bodies and eight country programmes with private sector partners. Private sector partners were used in both programme delivery (such as Agrinet for market analysis in Uganda) and research (such as with the UBS Optimus Foundation in Peru).

Engagement with stakeholders on the ground also diversified to include more academic institutions, think-tanks and scientific bodies (5% of total), as well as private sector parties (3% of total). A full 60% of ACF country programmes engaged with academic institutions, think tanks and scientific bodies and eight country programmes with private sector partners. Private sector partners were used in both programme delivery (such as Agrinet for market analysis in Uganda) and research (such as with the UBS Optimus Foundation in Peru).

Why does ACF support a partnered approach?

In 2013, country programmes provided information on the purpose of 429 out of the 484 partnerships recorded (89%). As in 2012, capacity building remained the principal reason for partnerships (41%) but improving access to beneficiaries was a substantial second (27%). Capacity building also requires sustainable exit strategies, which is why 10% of all partnerships were expressly for hand-over of programmes to local and national stakeholders. In 2013, 6% of all partnerships were also for research. That included nearly 40 research projects underway with leading experts in diverse fields, whether on infectious diseases amongst SAM children with the University Hospital of Geneva or climate resilience and food security in Central America with a consortium of NGO and academic partners (see Annex 2).

Partnerships were formed across ACF’s three main sectors (nutrition and health, WaSH and FSL) in more or less equal proportions (20-26%). A very limited section of partnerships (4%) were in mental health and care practices, reflecting the relative novelty of this sector for ACF. See Annex 4 for a full list of reported partnerships by country.
GOAL 4
Build ACF's capacity to ensure effective and efficient response to humanitarian crises

4.1 Develop greater financial security and independence and sufficient revenue to allow ACF to increase its impact on the eradication of hunger and malnutrition.

In 2013, ACF made significant achievements towards greater financial independence and security and committed to even greater ambitions for the coming years.

Having already reached its 2015 financial targets by the end of 2012, ACF committed to a new goal of achieving a network-wide budget of €226 million ($295 million) by 2015. In 2013, ACF’s total financial activity grew 3% from 2012, to a total of €210,856,770. While a substantial achievement, this growth trend will need to continue to increase in the coming years for ACF to meet its ambitious new target.

ACF also sought to develop greater financial independence by increasing the percentage of private support each year. The aim is to reach a public-private split of 65%-35% by 2015. While very close to this target, 2013 numbers showed a trend moving in the opposite direction. A slightly greater percentage of ACF revenue actually came from public restricted release funding in 2013 (from 68% in 2012 to 71% in 2013), whereas private funding made up slightly less of the whole (from 32% in 2012 to 29% in 2013).

However, strides were made in other areas towards greater financial security. For example, a larger proportion of private funding was unrestricted in 2013 – from 75% to 80%. This unrestricted private funding made up 23% of all ACF’s revenue, essentially allowing a quarter of all ACF’s spending in 2013 to be directed by independent decision-making. This increase in financial independence can lead to better, more adaptive programming in
ACF DEVELOPMENT’S INVESTMENT IN 2013

Investment in Current HQ:
- €615,000

Remained Spent:
- €150,000

Investment in New Markets:
- €3,850,000

Total Investment in 2013:
- €3,855,000

Yearly Donations:
- 2011: €615,000
- 2012: €704,895
- 2013: €794,899

ACF INDIVIDUAL DONORS 2011 - 2013
emergencies, since most restricted funding requires time to secure and comes with strings attached that are not always in the best interest of the disaster-affected communities we serve. A tension often results from two ends: when responding to crises, more lives can be saved and suffering alleviated with immediate action, but the architecture of institutional humanitarian financing is not optimised for speed and agility. This situation creates an unfortunate dichotomy between downward and upward accountability – what is best for beneficiaries is speed but what is required by donors is time.

Greater financial independence mobilises swifter action, allowing beneficiary-influenced and adaptable decision-making. This translates into programming that is more accountable to the needs of communities affected by disasters, faster and more effective. This is the reason that ACF committed to raising unrestricted funds of €58 million ($77 million) by 2015, which will require more than a quarter of its overall budget. At €48.7 million in 2013, ACF was closer than ever before to greater financial independence, for improving our capacity for humanitarian action.

The breakdown of expenses in 2013 remained largely the same compared to 2012. Slightly more spending went towards programming (+0.2%) and fundraising (+0.8%) and slightly less towards management (-1%). By increasing investment in fundraising activities, ACF also increased the return on investment, which can lead to more resources for life-saving programmes.

Diversifying funding sources also requires a broad portfolio of donors. Aside from 800,000 individual active donors, a dozen major institutional sources contributed to ACF’s revenue in 2013. Of these, only the European Community represented more than 20% of the total income (28%), with ECHO making up most of that (20%) but closely followed by the UN (15%). Both of these, however, represented a smaller portion of the total than they did in 2012. Revenue from a few governments decreased in 2013, including the Spanish (-14%) and Canadian (-4%). This decrease was compensated by increases in revenue from other donors, including the UN (+9%), US (+9%), UK and other (such as Norwegian and Swiss) governments (+17%). The greatest growth came from the French and British governments, whose contribution rose by €2.1 million (+141%) and €4.3 million (+63%) respectively.

One of the most effective ways to increase resources for programming is to increase investment in fundraising, allowing more exponential growth and greater return on investment. For this reason, ACF Development was created and became operational in 2013. A total budget of €8 million was allocated, €4 million to generate increased fundraising in current HQs and €4 million to break into new markets by opening fundraising offices in new countries. Much of 2013 was dedicated to planning and preparation. Out of the first €4 million, ACF Development dedicated €615,000 (15%) as loans to current HQs. This comprised two loans for ACF-USA totaling €500,000 and one loan for ACF-UK totaling €115,000.

Out of the second €4 million, ACF Development only invested €150,000 (4%). Most of this spending related to the running costs for this bold new initiative because the greater part of 2013 was dedicated to rigorous preparation. Most importantly, this included a global fundraising market study to identify the most promising new countries for ACF fundraising activities. Based on this study, Italy and Germany were selected as propitious new markets. ACF Development began the registration process in these two countries as 2013 turned into a new year.

ACF Development is expected to play a central role in accelerating greater financial security and independence for ACF in the coming years. With smarter investment in fundraising comes greater revenue to allow ACF to increase its impact on the eradication of hunger and malnutrition. ACF Development is an exciting new initiative with far-reaching potential to contribute substantially towards this goal.
4.2 Enhance human resources to ensure that ACF has the manpower and the talent needed to accomplish the goals and objectives set out in 2013, ACF International had nearly 5,800 staff globally – continuing an annual growth trend of about 300 staff members. Field staff made up almost 94% of these (5,414), including both national and expatriate staff.

ACF continued to progress towards its vision of nationalisation in 2013, adding 150 new national staff roles. For the first time, no country programme reported an expatriate working in non-managerial positions. (Managerial positions are considered A, B, C and D level roles, although some countries have junior management roles that are not tracked.)

Nationals also made up a greater percentage of level A and levels C and D staff than they did in 2012 (from 68% to 71% and from 4% to 7%, respectively). However, nationalisation of level B staff decreased by 3%. Overall, level A staff made up 55%, level B staff made up 36% and levels C & D staff made up 9% of ACF management roles in the field. These roles again increased in number by more than 100 (from 650 in 2012 to 791 in 2013) – expatriate roles grew by 59 and national roles grew by 82.

Through training and support, ACF promoted staff talent and improved capacity to respond to humanitarian emergencies. In 2013, 14 staff members were available for emergency deployment (see Goal 2), and 21 countries (46%) reported having a formalised mission training plan. In the 16 countries that reported this indicator, 75% of expatriate staff who completed assignments in 2013 received performance appraisals, and 95% of first mission expatriate staff who started assignments in 2013 attended induction trainings. The average stay of expatriate staff in country programmes was nine months, with the briefest being one month (Egypt: under registration process) and the longest being 23 months (Nicaragua). A third of all ACF countries also had a level B HR staff member in place to drive forward HR management processes and issues.
ACF International employed a total of 370 staff at its five headquarters. ACF-Canada hired the most new staff, marking a 71% growth from 2012. On average, an employee could be expected to stay at an ACF HQ for about three years and one month.

**WHO IS ACF’S TYPICAL EXPATRIATE?**

- **38 years old**
- Male
- Works with ACF for 21 months
- Length of assignment 6-13 months
- Level A: 9%
- Level B: 36%
- Level C & D: 55%
4.3 Enhance ACF’s logistics systems, ensuring adequate support for its nutrition, food, water and sanitation programmes

In 2013, ACF logistics systems reached further and wider than in previous years. Across 46 country programmes, the average completion rate of the Logistics Assessment Table (LAT) was 68%, 6% more than in 2012. Six countries reported LAT completion rates above 80% (Kenya, Syria, Occupied Palestinian Territory, Niger, Colombia and the Ivory Coast), with Colombia’s rising to 90%. Only two countries had rates lower than 50% (India and Yemen).

Across 46 country programmes, as well as regional offices, ACF’s global logistics supply chain managed a volume of over €74.8 million, continuing a nearly uninterrupted upward trend since 2007. Since 2007, the supply chain has grown by an average of 19% per year. Four countries made up 25% of the global volume – DRC (€5 million), Mali (€4.8 million), Ethiopia (€4.4 million) and the Philippines (€4.3 million) – whereas four countries (Nepal, Egypt, Indonesia and Cambodia) plus the West Africa Regional Office and Dubai together made up less than 1%.

Fluctuating volumes of supply chain expenditure reflected the changing humanitarian contexts in which ACF responded to emergencies. Somalia, South Sudan and Haiti, for instance, all experienced a dramatic decline from 2012 due to scaling down of emergency operations. On the other hand, new crises provoked much greater activity in the Philippines, Ethiopia, Mali, Madagascar and Syria – the latter two increasing by nine and ten times respectively.

The volume of expenditure managed in each country was also a reflection of the types of programmes implemented in a particular context. In Paraguay, for example, 500 health and nutrition education sessions and 1,000 FSL trainings required a supply chain expenditure of an average of €170.79 each. In Nigeria, on the other hand, capacity building and joint activities with the Ministry of Health translated into a vast increase in the number of implementing partner beneficiaries and a subsequent decrease in expenditure – only €0.61 each. Most country programmes, however, reported anywhere from €39.48 per beneficiary (Mongolia) to only €2.04...
ACF logistics required both contextualised information systems befitting local environments and common technical expertise in areas such as supply chain management, fleet management, ICT, energy, environment, facility management, and emergency logistics services.

ACF’s logistics teams continuously collaborate and coordinate to improve common core systems and processes across the network. As such, in 2013, the logistics team embarked on an international project to set up a common information system (see 4.6, page 37).

(Madagascar), with an average across all country programmes of €8.29.

At the global level, ACF also used existing networks to engage as a global player in humanitarian logistics. This included 20 workshops and trainings, nine fora and four regional platforms. Staff capacity was strengthened internally at the country and HQ levels, as well as externally through such trainings as the Fleet Forum (Belgium). ACF also engaged actively with the Global Logistics Cluster, the Humanitarian Logistics Association, UNHRD, Bioforce, the Inter-Agency Procurement Group (IAPG) and the European Interagency Security Forum (EISF). At the regional level, ACF took part in platforms in Lyon (France), Accra (Ghana), Dubai (UAE), Nairobi (Kenya) and the City of Panama (Panama).
4.4 Strengthen the safety and security management and culture of ACF

In 2013, ACF experienced 275 security and safety incidents across its country programmes, marking a significant increase in the number of incidents from the previous year, almost exclusively due to the rise in transport accidents.

As in 2012, the majority of incidents related to endogenous factors (e.g. non-compliance with security rules, human resources disputes). Transport accidents, for instance, nearly doubled in 2013 and contributed to 38% of all incidents. Pakistan and Uganda reported the highest numbers, but accidents remained an issue in 31 of ACF’s 47 countries. This growing danger requires particular attention.

In terms of total number of incidents, four countries reported more cases than the rest: Haiti (23), CAR (22), Yemen (22) and OPT (20). The ratio of incidents per staff member (not necessarily against staff member), however, were highest in OPT and Nepal (nearly 1:2), although most countries (37) reported a ratio of less than 1:10. In fact, some of the most dangerous contexts reflected a lower ratio of incidents per ACF staff – including Somalia (1:55), Afghanistan (1:68) and South Sudan (1:111) – a testament to ACF safety and security standards.

Following last year’s report, ACF focused efforts on reducing robberies, intrusions and assaults. Efforts succeeded in preventing the increase of robberies, and the rates of both intrusion and assault halved. The Central African Republic (CAR), however, continued to account for the greatest number of robberies, marginally more than Haiti. The other 18 countries reporting robberies, experienced fewer than five incidents each. Actions to improve passive protection, the vigilance of watchmen and staff training proved to be successful means of mitigating the risks related to robberies.
Forty countries reported using security indicators in the Logistics Assessment Table to ensure regular assessment of security risks. On average, 71.4% of these attained their indicated goals. OPT achieved the most (97.8%), and only two countries achieved less than half – India (47%) and Pakistan (30%). Interestingly, there appeared to be no direct correlation between a country’s context classification and its attainment of security indicators, suggesting again that endogenous factors such as compliance with security rules – and not the level of danger – made the biggest difference.

ACF had 137 bases in the 43 countries that reported back, with the most in the Philippines and the DRC. Of these, only 19 bases in 11 countries were at or above security level three, the greatest number situated in CAR. On average, 93% of bases completed the Risk Assessment Table in 2013 to ensure the adoption of context-appropriate safety and security strategies. To maximise staff security, teams also attended frequent trainings and sensitisations – in 2013, 23 country programmes alone reported training 737 staff. This made up 13.6% of all 5,414 field staff.

Finally, in 2013 ACF developed a security kit, providing tools and guidance for country programmes on security. This will be rolled-out in 2014.
4.5 Enhance monitoring, evaluation, learning and accountability

**Monitoring**

In 2013 ACF revised its monthly country to HQ reporting mechanism (Activity Progress Report) which is now used universally across all ACF country programmes. This ensured a smoother and more regular reporting process for all countries. The harmonization of this process allowed for cross-comparison across ACF, and facilitated the tracking of indicators for the International Strategic Plan 2010-2015, better data analysis and compilation of the Annual Progress Report.

**Evaluations & learning**

In 2013, slightly fewer evaluations (27 in 2013 as opposed to 30 in 2012) were carried out in accordance with the Evaluation Policy and Guidelines; however, evaluations continued to be an important part of learning and improving ACF’s activities and impact across the globe. Programmes evaluated vary from long-term (four year) to short-term (six month) programmes, as well as strategic evaluations (such as the WARO) and evaluations of emergency responses (such as in the Philippines).

The ACF Evaluations, Learning and Accountability (ELA) Unit, based in the UK, supported all country programmes conducting evaluations. The ACF Evaluation Policy and Guidelines provided a standardised approach to evaluations, addressing both accountability (through the use of the OECD/DAC criteria) and learning (through the identification of good practice). The annual Learning Review continued to document good practice and track progress according to the OECD/DAC Criteria, as well as to provide a platform to discuss important issues for ACF.

The ELA Unit also remained a leader in evaluations and learning outside ACF, providing learning for the Global WaSH Cluster and the UK-based Start Network, alongside providing evaluation expertise to other NGOs.

**Accountability**

By 2013, ACF had not yet developed an organisational position or framework to support country programmes in being accountable to the affected populations with whom it works. That said, there were a number of initiatives reported at national level to facilitate accountability towards affected populations throughout the programme cycle.

For example, ACF Kenya and Uganda both developed formal beneficiary complaint and response mechanisms, and ACF Madagascar reported a two-way communication mechanism in order to ensure consultation, participation and discussion with the local authorities and communities at all stages of the programme cycle. ACF Pakistan went one step further by establishing a Programme Quality and Accountability department.
THE EXTERNAL EVALUATION OF THE ACF WEST AFRICA REGIONAL OFFICE

In February 2013, ACF commissioned a strategic evaluation of the West Africa Regional Office (WARO). The evaluation demonstrated that after three years the regional office had a clear, positive impact on the lives of those it supported and provided a number of potential scenarios and recommendations to strengthen WARO in the future.

The evaluation provided a strong starting point for ACF to reassess WARO’s capabilities and limits, redefine the way forward and give an opportunity to identify key issues for other regional offices. Even though the evaluation was not a manual on how to open and operate a regional office, it did document a collection of lessons learned that go beyond WARO’s experience and serve to inform future regional structures.

The evaluation highlighted some challenges at ACF’s organisational level, such as the need to define a clear role for WARO, develop WARO’s governance system, adapt the HR model and create procedures and standardized processes. Equally it showed that there are aspects that must be maintained and could be reinforced in the future, such as WARO’s capacity to respond to large-scale crises, the advantage of networking and participating in regional committees and the ability to fundraise, engage in advocacy and support regional projects.

In 2013 evaluations conducted according to the policy decreased in number, but there was an increase in evaluations from South and Central America (from 0 to 5). Evaluations of DRM programmes were higher in 2013 (increasing from 1 to 4), representing an increase in the proliferation of DRM programmes in ACF. A strategic evaluation of the West Africa Regional Office was conducted (see box), as well as an evaluation of ACF’s Response to the Sahel Crisis in 2012, and a real-time evaluation of ACF’s response to Typhoon Haiyan in the Philippines (see box on page 16).

4.6 Enhance information systems

In 2013, ACF made steps towards an enhanced information system by strengthening its ICT capacity.

ACF aims to create a global information system, which will improve the efficiency of the current ICT systems. To this end, in 2013 the Information System International Management Committee was established to manage the process. Additional activities included the identification of applications in use in ACF-France, ACF-Spain and ACF-USA (ACF-France intranet and ACF-Spain Intranet), the sharing of practice and tools between ACF-Spain and ACF-France, and the testing of a data collection platform (Open Data Kit) and planning for 2014.
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4.7 Invest in research and development

In 2013 ACF assumed 44 research projects, an increase from 23 in 2012.

These included projects in all of ACF’s core technical areas of intervention, as well as some additional projects that have been categorised as ‘Other’. Some of these projects were: Humanitarian Trends and New Technologies, Ethics in Research and the development of a data collection and analysis toolkit (known as OpenDataKit).

The year 2013 also saw a significant increase in research projects for mental health and care practices – from one to seven. This reflects ACF’s relative newness to this sector and the need to build the evidence base for future interventions. (See Annex 2 for a list of all ACF research projects from 2013.)

This increase in reported research was coupled with an increase in investment, which rose again in 2013 from €1.23 million to €1.7 million. The largest proportion of this (over 50%) was dedicated to FSL, with two projects making up the bulk of this; a cost effectiveness study in the Tapoa Province, Burkina Faso (€324,842) and research into a cash transfer project in Mauritania (€286,400). Although there was a doubling of investment for FSL, nutrition and health investment fell by €100,000. DRM related investment rose dramatically from one project of €14,371 in 2012 to four projects of a combined €97,000 in 2013. Three of these four were from Central and South America.

Investments per project increased significantly from 2012, with FSL remaining the most expensive per project of all the sectors. The average investment calculated per project for each sector presented below was calculated only from those projects that reported research project costs (25 out of the 46).

Research partners in 2013 were largely NGO or academic but also included UN and private sector parties such as the UBS Optimus Foundation who partnered with ACF in Peru for a study on the impact of food supplements on growth and hemoglobin status.

Research is a scientific process resulting in the production of new knowledge.

Research Investment Per Sector 2012-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSL</td>
<td>€223,725</td>
<td>€250,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH</td>
<td>€381,491</td>
<td>€891,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHR</td>
<td>€539,087</td>
<td>€433,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRM</td>
<td>€14,371</td>
<td>€97,189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Partners by Type 2013

- ACADEMIA: 20
- NGO: 12
- PUBLIC: 3
- UN: 2
- PRIVATE: 2
THE MAM’OUT RESEARCH PROJECT

In 2008, ACF established research capacity to ensure an evidence-based implementation of programmes, stimulate innovation in tackling acute malnutrition and respond efficiently to beneficiary needs. Research within ACF must be scientific, applied, participatory and ethical. In the International Strategic Plan (2010-2015), ACF affirmed research as a key area for achieving its strategic objectives. A research project that started in May 2013 in Burkina Faso, serves as a strong example.

This 24 month research project was launched in Tapoa province in the eastern region of Burkina Faso. The MAM’Out research project aims to provide an evidence base for a context-appropriate approach to prevent acute malnutrition, which is likely to influence several underlying causes of undernutrition and is not based primarily on food supplementation. The project will evaluate a seasonal and multi-year cash transfer in the Tapoa province to prevent acute malnutrition in children under 24 months, in terms of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. The intervention will target economically vulnerable households with children less than one year old at the time of inclusion and will distribute the cash to mothers. The design of the study is based on a cluster-randomised intervention trial in two rural villages, involving one group that is part of the intervention and will have received cash transfers via mobile phones and one control group. In order to carry out the project in a comprehensive and scientific way ACF is collaborating with Gent University (Belgium), AgroParisTech (France), the Institute de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (Burkina Faso) and the Center for Disease Control (USA), which is also co-funding the project, along with ACF-France and ECHO (who are funding the cash intervention).

The project’s objective falls under ACF’s Research Strategic Axis 1.4, “to identify and assess the efficacy of innovative and sustainable approaches to prevent undernutrition” in the 2012-2015 ACF Research Strategy. The use of mobile phones to transfer the cash and of the use of tablets to collect the data in the field provide an innovative approach to preventing undernutrition.
5.1 Engage successfully with the wider public on hunger and acute malnutrition issues

In 2013, ACF continued to make significant gains in raising awareness about malnutrition and humanitarian crises, with an estimated 7.6 million people reached, contributing to a total of over 21 million since 2011.

ACF reached more members of the wider public than ever before in 2013. There was a significant increase in website visitors and a diversification of online activity, including reaching more than 20,000 through Google+. This increase in online activity was also realised in terms of Facebook members and Twitter followers, which increased by 24% and 70% respectively. At the same time, there was a decrease in media coverage for ACF in 2013. However, new visitors to ACF websites increased dramatically, rising from 1.7 to 2.4 million, and website traffic constituted the second most common method for reaching supporters. While the use of digital communication increased the most in 2013 (rising 43% from 2012), reaching people through more traditional means, such as with direct mailings and by telephone, remained the most prolific way that ACF engaged with the wider public.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Email Website Visitors</th>
<th>Telephone, Mail</th>
<th>HQ Website Visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5,521,880</td>
<td>1,865,071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4,201,647</td>
<td>1,668,322</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4,704,552</td>
<td>2,415,066</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TOTAL PEOPLE REACHED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People Reached</td>
<td>5,521,880</td>
<td>4,201,647</td>
<td>4,704,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Mentions</td>
<td>7,573,098</td>
<td>6,239,835</td>
<td>7,637,277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FACEBOOK MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Members</td>
<td>153,250</td>
<td>303,248</td>
<td>375,836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TWITTER FOLLOWERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Followers</td>
<td>32,892</td>
<td>66,618</td>
<td>113,797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Items</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28,026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEDIA MENTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Items</td>
<td>8,958</td>
<td>14,053</td>
<td>12,696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Influencing policy makers on hunger and nutrition

ACF’s role in advocating on issues of hunger and nutrition continued to expand in 2013, a year of significant activity in the nutrition world. The following key achievements were made:

Increased political and financial commitments for nutrition in 2013.

As part of a civil society organisation (CSO) coalition ACF influenced the organisation of a pre-G8 high-level event to put hunger and nutrition at the heart of the UK G8 agenda. The event - Nutrition for Growth - was attended by stakeholders from 91 governments, civil society organisations and private companies. All participants signed the Nutrition for Growth Compact which pledges to prevent at least 20 million children from being stunted and to save at least 1.7 million lives by 2020 by increasing treatment of severe acute malnutrition, among other key actions. ACF made its own commitment at the event to save the lives of at least 600,000 severely acute malnourished children and to improve the nutrition status and environment of six million children and women by 2020.

Lobbied with others so that the UK met its target

On 20th March, the UK Government confirmed its commitment to spend 0.7% of its gross national income (GNI) on overseas aid from 2013, becoming the first G8 country to meet the 1970 UN agreed target. Through the Enough Food For Everyone IF campaign, ACF called for the UK government to deliver on this promise and finally make it a reality.

Raised the profile of acute malnutrition in the global agenda.

ACF worked with others to secure a target on reducing child mortality in the Nutrition for Growth Compact; a specific objective to reduce wasting in the EU Communication on Nutrition; and the inclusion of the treatment of acute malnutrition in the agenda and conclusions of the UNICEF High Level Nutrition Conference in Paris in May 2013. ACF sat on the steering committee of the UNICEF conference and, through its influence, ensured that the treatment of acute malnutrition was adequately reflected (in terms of priority and resources allocation).

Successfully influenced key policies on food crisis prevention and resilience.

ACF messages were included in final communiques of the Food Crisis Prevention Initiatives in West Africa, and ACF coordinated CSO input into the roadmap of the Global Alliance for Resilience Initiative (AGIR) in the West African Sahel. ACF key messages on the importance of seasonal social protection, prioritizing nutrition within agricultural policies and the need for flexible multi-year funding were also incorporated into the UK Department for International Development (DFID) business case for the Sahel.

Increased high level influence towards donor governments.

ACF continued to build engagement with MPs through activities. This included the organisation of two policy events in the UK Parliament ahead of the Nutrition for Growth event as well as the organisation of a field trip with French MPs to Senegal that led to a meeting with the French Minister for Development; and the organisation of a roundtable discussion in the French Parliament. Ahead of the Nutrition for Growth event, ACF also linked up with key nutrition actors in the USA and Canada to influence both governments. ACF-USA contributed to influencing the US Government to make concrete commitments on nutrition at the Nutrition
for Growth event. Following commitments at this event the US Government initiated a process to create a nutrition strategy that ACF is influencing. ACF-Canada made significant contributions to convey priority messages to key government decision-makers, and the Canadian coalition saw two achievements: Persuading Canada’s Minister of International Development to attend Nutrition for Growth and Canada’s financial commitment announced at the high-level event.

Organised side events at key moments to promote ACF positions.

A side event co-hosted with UNICEF was organised during the UN General Assembly (UNGA) in New York in September 2013 to promote solutions to end acute malnutrition, and two side events were held at the Committee for Food Security (CFS) annual meeting in Rome in October 2013 to launch ACF’s position on nutrition-sensitive agriculture.

Influenced high burden countries to improve nutrition.

ACF Philippines played a central role in the organisation of Nutrition Month in July and in persuading the government of the Philippines to join the SUN Movement. In September, the Nepal programme organised an event to lobby potential donors and the government of Nepal to invest more in efforts to tackle acute malnutrition. ACF encouraged donors to support Nepal’s Multi-Sector Nutrition Plan for 2013-2017 and outlined the technical support which it could provide.

ACF prepared its first global advocacy campaign with the overall goal to stop child deaths from acute malnutrition.

The coalition campaign to end child deaths from acute malnutrition, Generation Nutrition, was developed through ACF leadership in 2013 with participation from a growing number of partner organisations. Six partners and five ACF country programmes confirmed their commitment to the campaign in 2013. A strategic framework for the campaign reflecting ACF’s and partners’ views as well as campaign plans for 2014 were also developed. External funds from CIFF were secured for 2013 to support the development of the campaign and UNICEF agreed to support ACF advocacy activities on acute malnutrition.

In 2013, ACF’s external publications significantly increased in number from 72 to 102.

The publications targeted a range of audiences – from NGOs and the general public to policy makers, governments and academics. Similar to 2012 a significant proportion of publications were self-published by ACF (69%). There was, however, a more significant increase in externally published material – in journals, peer-reviewed journals and technical publications (such as Field Exchange) – which doubled in number.

Publications covered the full range of sectors in which ACF delivers programmes, with a strong emphasis on Nutrition and, to a lesser extent, FSL. Many had a multi-sectoral emphasis and some focused on cross-cutting issues, such as gender and advocacy. A full list of ACF publications can be found in Annex 3.
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5.3 Drive change on global humanitarian issues

ACF continued to consolidate its position as a preeminent advocate on humanitarian issues in line with its humanitarian interventions in a year where there was a surge in humanitarian crises. Key specific highlights were as follows:

Mali:
ACF called on the UN and the French government to ensure the Quick Impact Projects (QIPs) were clearly dissociated from humanitarian activities to avoid blurring between military and humanitarian activities.

Bangladesh & Myanmar:
ACF produced a confidential report to influence key stakeholders highlighting the obstacles to principled humanitarian action in efforts to alleviate the suffering of discriminated population groups.

Occupied Palestinian Territory:
ACF produced a briefing paper highlighting the difficulties of maintaining livelihoods under the blockade and reiterating the need to lift it. In September, a web documentary entitled “Broken Hopes: Oslo’s Legacy” was released to mark the 20th anniversary of the Oslo peace accords in a partnership of ACF with the VU agency and Darjeeling.

Syria crisis:
Advocacy messages and communications on access to populations in Syria and the need for financial support from donors were developed by ACF in coordination with SIRF, a group of NGOs working on the crisis.

Central African Republic:
In response to the worsening crisis in December, ACF advocated for the French government to increase its diplomatic involvement in the crisis by engaging with the media to launch a public debate and by publishing an op-ed in the French newspaper Libération. These advocacy efforts contributed to a Security Council resolution, which led to additional troops being sent to the country. Advocacy efforts are ongoing to ensure respect of humanitarian principles in the French intervention.

EU and West African funding strategies:
As a member of VOICE, a network of 83 EU NGOs, ACF called on EU Member States to support the EU Aid budget. ACF also provided input for the annual development and mid-year review of the UN Consolidated Appeals Process (CAP) in the first half of 2013 and for the 2014-2016 UN Strategy for the Sahel.

Fighting for Justice and the Protection of Humanitarian Workers

ACF continued to fight for justice for the murder of ACF staff in Sri Lanka and Burundi and for the protection of humanitarian workers more generally.

The latest report on the Muttur Case, “The Truth about the Assassination of 17 Aid Workers in Sri Lanka”, was published in December. The report summarised evidence denouncing those responsible for the murders and aims to influence other actors such as human rights NGOs and journalists to take on the case.

To coincide with the launch of the report, a media event took place in a Paris metro station with posters of the campaign calling for better protection of humanitarian workers. Around 5,000 members of the public signed the posters to pledge their support. Many media agencies covered the launch of the report internationally (including BBC News, the New York Times and Libération) and in Sri Lanka itself. Following the release of the report, the US Government reiterated its call to Sri Lanka to open credible investigations. ACF lobbied the Human Rights Council in March 2014 with the aim of ensuring that an inquiry commission on Sri Lanka be included in its resolution.

Promoting principled humanitarian action

ACF released a position paper on Humanitarian Principles in Conflict outlining how the network applies humanitarian principles in contexts of armed conflict in order to influence key external targets such as donors and parties to armed conflicts. It also served to kick-start a debate internally on how to harmonise and improve our understanding of humanitarian principles. ACF also began a network-wide consultation in order to develop an ACF position on humanitarian reform and the Transformative Agenda.
5.4 Bring ACF advocacy to its full potential

ACF advocacy continued to grow as a global function, with staff spread throughout the network at both HQ and field level. The following highlights happened in 2013:

Increased ACF participation and leadership in relevant civil society networks at global and national levels, including:
- the SUN CSO Network - ACF was elected a member of the Steering Committee at the global level and played a leading role in the development of Civil Society Alliances in Kenya, Indonesia, the Ivory Coast, Madagascar, Ethiopia, Zimbabwe and Myanmar;
- the Civil Society Mechanism of the Committee on Food Security;
- the IF campaign which brought together UK NGOs to influence the outcome of the G8 meeting;
- the Road to Rio global nutrition advocacy group that aims to ensure that nutrition remains high on the global agenda through to 2016 including by influencing post-2015 negotiations;
- and various national level nutrition coalitions.

Contributed to building the evidence base
ACF published 14 policy papers or positions to underpin ACF advocacy messages and to increase the profile of issues related to nutrition and health, nutrition financing, nutrition-sensitive interventions and humanitarian action.

Built further advocacy support and training for ACF country programmes
- by developing an ACF advocacy capacity building toolkit;
- with two regional advocacy meetings in Dakar and Nairobi;
- through advocacy workshops for HQ staff;
- and by providing direct support to country programmes to develop national advocacy strategies.

Strengthened ACF International Advocacy governance
A new Advocacy Department was created in ACF-UK following an internal restructuring, and an International Advocacy Director was recruited to provide overall strategic direction for the network’s advocacy priorities.
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ACF International Beneficiaries by Country and Sector 2013

*Refers to "Total Direct Nutrition" as stipulated in the ACF International Beneficiary Counting Guidelines
### Research Projects, Partners and Sectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Partners</th>
<th>Sectors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African Drylands study</td>
<td>FAO IPRI ELA CIDAD</td>
<td>DRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agua Sequia y Chaco</td>
<td>Centro Andino para la Gestion y Uso del Agua</td>
<td>DRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sistematizacion de Experiencias en Gestion de Riesgo de Desastre en el Chaco Boliviano</td>
<td>CA FAO COOPI CARE</td>
<td>DRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agua y Medio de Vida: Vulnerabilidad de los sistemas hidricos y sistemas productivos en el Chaco cruceño</td>
<td>Centro Andino para la Gestion y Uso del Agua</td>
<td>DRM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Resilience and Food Security in Central America</td>
<td>ISID INAH UNAH UCN Nititapan</td>
<td>FSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash transfer project for malnutrition and poverty prevention in Mauritania</td>
<td></td>
<td>FSL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigación agrícola de rubros de consumo, mandioca, mapiz y yuca</td>
<td>Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias de la Universidad Nacional de Asunción</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anemia en niños indígenas y no indígenas menores de 5 años</td>
<td>Asunción Facultad de la salud de la universidad Agrarias de la Facultad de ciencias de la salud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANGO: testing the effectiveness of the reduction of nutrition product consumed on SAM children</td>
<td>Gend University UCL ICDDR B EHESP</td>
<td>N&amp;H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANTO2: Evaluation of a treatment and multi-modal cash transfer program in the framework of a study to prevent acute malnutrition in children under 5 months, in terms of effectiveness and cost-effectiveness in the Nepal province</td>
<td>Gend University AgroParisTech EDC IRIS</td>
<td>N&amp;H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Data Collection &amp; Analysis Toolkit (OpenDataKit)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact evaluation of a multi-sector intervention programme to reduce childhood stunting in a food-insecure area in Madagascar</td>
<td>Michigan University</td>
<td>N&amp;H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household water treatment in Mauritania</td>
<td>ATPC in Mauritania</td>
<td>Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH in nutrition in Sahel</td>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household water treatment in Colombia (research)</td>
<td>Universidad de Boyaca OXFAM</td>
<td>Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioactivators to reduce volume in latrines in The Philippines</td>
<td>LICE</td>
<td>Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimentation of new options to improve access to water, hygiene and sanitation in Ulan Bator areas, Mongolia</td>
<td>Beijing University MUST</td>
<td>Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Governance in the Philippines</td>
<td>Grenoble University</td>
<td>Wash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sectors**
- Nutrition & Health
- Food Security & livelihoods
- WaSH
- Mental health & care practices
- DRM
- Other
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ACF International Publications 2013

- Gaza Blockade: Help them Grow
- Humanitarian Principles in Conflict
- The truth about the assassination of 17 aid workers in Sri Lanka
- ACF advocacy toolkit

- Disaster Risk Reduction - PVCA
- Climate change and nutrition: Creating a climate for nutrition security
- Enhancing Climate resilience, food & nutrition security
- Etude participative des riques, vulnérabilité et capacités communautaires
- Enhancing resilience to shocks and stresses
- Emergencies preparedness & response planning
- Early warning, early action: a brief review of experiences and opportunities

- Mali “Les Jardins de la Santé”, une approche centrée sur la nutrition
- Una alternativa nutricional en los Andes. Papas biofortificadas con hierro en la región de Ayacucho
- Alternativas para mitigar los efectos del cambio climático. Agricultura de conservación
- Intercambio de experiencia para la promoción de Buenas prácticas
- Paso a paso. La radio como medio de capacitación en la extensión agraria
- Guía para la aplicación de La escala Latinoamericana de seguridad Alimentaria ELCSA
- Técnicas y tecnologías aplicadas en el en comunidades indígenas y campesinas
- Guía de contenidos Tekomboeapo Iviporeta regua (Conviviendo en Armonía con la Naturaleza)
- Cuaderno de actividades
- Cuaderno de evaluacion
- Almanaque (promocion del Centro artesanal Ñande Reembiapo)
- Agriculture and household nutrition security -development practice and research needs
- Low Input Gardens: a sustainable means of improving nutrition security and social status of People Living with HIV
- Enhancing the role of smallholder farmers in achieving sustainable food and nutrition security
- Nutrition Causal Analysis (NCA): proposition for an innovative, standardised, participatory and holistic methodology
- An attempt to demonstrate the link between WASH and malnutrition: The Nutrition Causal Analysis (Burkina Faso)
- ACF FSL Intervention in Response to the Sahel Crisis in 2012: A Learning Paper
- Seasonality: The missing piece of the undernutrition puzzle
- Learning the lessons? Assessing the response to the 2012 food crisis in the Sahel to build resilience for future
- History repeating itself? Global food price volatility and its impacts on malnutrition
- Small scale, big impact: the contribution of small scale agriculture to improving nutrition

- Sowing the seeds of good nutrition: Making agricultural policies deliver better nutrition
- Impact of cross-sectoral approach to addressing Konzo in DRC
- Caterpillars in diets, DRC
- Cases Studies Guinea Conakry: Project “Porridge Mums”: combining income generating activities and undernutrition prevention
- Characterizing the livelihoods of Kampaign’s slum dwellers

- Por qué es importante hablar de género (cartilla)
- Gender dimensions in Pakistan

- Partnering in emergencies: lessons from ACF-USA’s experience in Pakistan and Kenya
- Elaboration and adaptation of a humanitarian-context research ethics framework to enhance research results valorization: the example of Action contre la Faim (ACF)
- Moving the message beyond research and highlighting the importance of considering the empowerment of decision-making within households
- ACF International Learning Review 2012
- ACF International Annual Progress Report 2012

- ABC - Assisting Behavior Changes Part 1: Theories and Models, to better understand behavior change and the process of change
- ABC - Assisting Behavior Changes Part 2 : Practical ideas and Techniques, Designing and Implementing programmes in ACF using an ABC approach
- Manuel for the integration of child care practices and mental health into nutrition programs

- Impacto Económico de la Anemia en el Peru
- Anemia in indigenous and non-indigenous children under 5 from the rural communities in the Caazapá Department, Paraguay
- The economic Impact of Anemia in Perú
- SMART+ anemia analysis in Bolivia.
- Valoraciones, saberes y experiencias en el consumo del suplemento con micronutrientes en los departamentos de Huancavelica, Apurímas y Ayacucho
- Diagnóstico de los determinantes de la desnutrición crónica infantil en la provincia de Vilcashuaman. Perú
- Anemia por deficiencia de hierro y suplementación con micrínunutrientes en niños de 6 a 35 meses de edad
- Aproximación al consumo de alimentos y prácticas de alimentación y cuidado infantil en niños de 6 a 23 meses
- Generando un modelo para disminuir la desnutrición infantil
- La anemia por deficiencia de hierro, desde un enfoque cultural
- Calendario Nutricional 2014
Cuadernillo de Trabajos Prácticos y Guía Didácticas
Primer Ciclo de la eEB. Comunidades Mbya Guaraní de Ŭ
Apu’a e Ykua Forá, Distrito de Aha’i, Depto. De Caazapá
Alimentando en la primera Infancia

strengthening health systems - Health system strengthening constitutes much of ACF’s work in development contexts – Dr Sandra Mutuma explains why it is done and the impact it has had in Nigeria
Nutrition mainstreaming in Pakistan flood response programming
Towards improved food and nutrition security in Pakistan
Something for everyone: three perspectives from a recent coverage assessment in Pakistan
Nutrition Security Emergency Programming in Diverse Urban Contexts
Supporting Ministries of Health to take the Lead in Adressing Severe Acute Malnutrition
Transforming awareness and training into effective CMAM Performance
Influence of body shape on the diagnosis of acute malnutrition by anthropometric indicators
Contribution of the Nutritional Causal Analysis research project to gender and nutrition
Cost-effectiveness guidelines: an introduction and overview of key concepts for cost-effectiveness analysis within ACF
E-learning - Notions of cost-effectiveness
Emergency nutrition, a handbook for developing an emergency nutrition intervention strategy
Cases Studies Liberia: Strengthening integrated systems for management and prevention of malnutrition in greater Monrovia
Cases Studies Pakistan: Nutrition mainstreaming in flood response programming
Acces for All Volume 1: Is community-based treatment of severe acute malnutrition (SAM) at scale capable of meeting global needs?
Access for All Volume 2: What factors influence access to community-based treatment of acute malnutrition?
Access for all Volume 3: What can community based SAM treatment learn from other public health interventions to improve access and coverage?
The State of Global SAM Management Coverage 2012
Why coverage is important: efficacy, effectiveness, coverage, and the impact of CMAM Interventions (Field Exchange Issue 45)
Considerations regarding coverage standards for selective feeding programmes (Field Exchange Issue 46)
Quantity through quality: Scaling up CMAM by improving programmes Access (Field Exchange Issue 46)
Boosters, Barriers, Questions: an approach to organising and analysing SQUEAC data (Field Exchange Issue 45)
Barriers to CMAM Services in Central Pokot, Kenya: FRA assessment with users
Debunking urban myths: access & coverage of SAM-treatment programmes in urban contexts (Field Exchange Issue 46)
Something for everyone: three perspectives from a recent coverage assessment in Pakistan

Aid for Nutrition 2013. Are we on track to meet the needs? 2010 and 2012
Aid for Nutrition. Mobilizing Innovative Financing for the fight against undernutrition
Aid for nutrition: Maximising the impact of nutrition-sensitive
Hunger Matters: Building the Foundations for Good Nutrition
Do Children with Uncomplicated Severe Acute Malnutrition Need Antibiotics? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Protecting child health and nutrition status with ready-to-use food in addition to food assistance in urban Chad: a cost-effectiveness analysis

Manual de fortalecimiento de comité de aguas
Night Soil Composting as a Common Approach to Sustainable Sanitation. A Review
Opportunities and Challenges of Greywater Treatment & Reuse in Peri-Urban Ger Areas of Ulaambaatar, Mongolia
WASH-Borne Vulnerability: A Scoping Study on Peri-Urban Ger Areas in Mongolian
A Holistic Approach to Integrate Safe Water Supply and Sustainable Sanitation Systems: A SWOT Analysis
Lutter contre le Choléra ! Le rôle des secteurs EAH et SMPS dans la lutte contre le choléra
1+1=3 How to integrate WASH and MHCP activities for better humanitarian projects
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List of Partnerships by Country

AFGHANISTAN
- Solidarité Internationale
- Welthungerhilfe
- Balkhtar Development Network
- Afghanistan Centre for Training and Development
- International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)
- Mission Ext
- People in Need

BANGLADESH
- National Development Programme (NDP)
- Shastri
- Society for Environment and Human Development (SHED)
- The International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research Bangladesh (ICDDR,B)
- Thengangma Mohita Sabuj Sangha (TMSS)

BOLIVIA
- Gobernación Santa Cruz
- Asamblea del Pueblo Guaraní (comunidades)
- Consorcio de Agencias humanitarias de Bolivia

BURKINA FASO
- Association d’Appui à la Promotion du Développement Durable des Comunautaires (APDDC)
- GRET
- TINTUA
- Direction Sanitaire Divisions Fada
- Direction Sanitaire Divisions Bogondé
- Direction Sanitaire Divisions Bombou
- Direction de l’Elevage
- Direction de l’Elevage
- Direction de l’Elevage

CAR
- Direction de la Santé Communautaire
- ACABEF
- ANEA (MANEY)
- DGR
- American Commodity Distribution Association (ACDA)
- Agency for Technical Cooperation & Development (ACTED)
- Solidarité International
- Programme alimentaire mondial des Nations Unies (PAM)
- MERCY CORPS
- The International Rescue Committee (IRC)
- Première Urgence - Aide Médicale Internationale (PUAMI)

CHAD
- Direction Sanitaire Régionale KANEM
- Direction Sanitaire Régionale Bahr el Ghazal
- Office National Développement Rural
- Délégation de l’Agriculture du Bahr el Gazal
- Direction Départementale de l’Eau Potable et de l’Assainissement (DREPSEHAP)
- Croix-Rouge Congolaise
- Agency for Technical Cooperation & Development (ACTED)
- Solidarité Internationale
- Catholic Relief Services (CRS)
- Inspection Territoriale de l’Agriculture Pêche et L’élevage (ITAPEL)

ETHIOPIA
- Ministry of Health regional health bureau
- Bureau of Finance and Economic Development (BoFED)
- Save the Children

GEORGIA
- Lower Bargebi School
- Upper-Bargebi School
- Abami School
- Pichori School
- District Depart. of Education Gali (MoUs signed on WASH)
- Green Lane NGO (Armenia)
- LNGO Avangard (Gali)
- European Centre for Minority Issues (ECMI)
- "Youth Centre Named after Guram Tikanadze"
- "Alert"
- Rural Development Fund for Future Georgia

GUATEMALA
- Municipalidades y Mancomunidades
- Coordinadora Nacional para la Reducción de desastres (SE-CORRED)
- Coordinadora Municipal para la Reducción de Desastres (COMRED)
- COLRED
- Instituto Nacional de Sismología, Vulcanología, Meteorología e Hidrología (INSIVUMEH)
- Ministerio de Educación
- Ministerio de Agricultura, Ganadería y Alimentación (MAGA)
- Ministerio de Ambiente y Recursos Naturales (MARN)
- Universidad de Galileo
- Private Institute for Climate Change Research (ICCR)
- Secretaría de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutricional (SESAN)

GUINEA
- Direction de la Santé de la Ville de Conakry (DIVCOC)
- Direction Communale de la Santé (DCS) Matoto
- DAN
- APFAMING
- Université Gamal Abdel Nasser de Conakry
- Direction Régionale de la Santé (DRS)
- DPF Bafata
- DPF Lekima
- DPF Koubia
- DPF Tougué
- DPF Labé

HAITI
- CARE
- World Food Programme, United Nations (WFP)
- International Organisation for Migration (IOM)
- Ansanm pou yon Dfmen Miyoil an Ayiti (ADEMA)
- La Direction Nationale de l’Eau Potable et de l’Assainissement (DINEPA)
- Croix-Rouge Française

INDIA
- Centre for Community Economics and Development Consultants Society (CECCDECON)
- Ministry of Health
- Public Works (PU)Office
- PKFU (emergency)

IVORY COAST
- ARK
- Programme National de Nutrition
- Direction Régionale Sanitaire de Khorogho
- Direction Départementale de la Santé de Dabakoro

KENYA
- Ministry of Health
- The International Rescue Committee
- Kenya Red Cross
- Food for the Hungry
- World Vision International
- CARE
- Catholic Relief Services
- Aids Land Resilience Consortium

LIBANON
- Médair Shelter
- Mercy Corps (Verification of Vulnerability)

LIBERIA
- Ministry of Health nutrition division
- Médecins du Monde (MDM)
- Save the Children
- Welthungerhilfe
- Ground Water Exploration Inc. (GWBI)
- WASH consortium
- Ministry of Agriculture (MoAG)
- Ministry of Health

MADEIRA
- Association AFAI
- Comunidades de Anakozomanga, Maraoivo, Masibiouay, Salamaasya, Soomananga
- Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
- World Food Programme (WFP)
- UNICEF

MALI
- STOP SAHEL
- Wéyé Kendèye
- Centre Sahélien de Prestations, d’Études, d’Eco-développement et de Démocratie Appliquée (CSPEEDA)